

A blueprint for a coordinated minimum effective taxation standard for ultra-high-net-worth individuals


Gabriel Zucman

June 25, 2024

A progressive tax system:

- Strengthens social cohesion and trust in governments
- Contributes to fund the public goods that drive economic growth
- Is key to distribute the gains from globalization fairly


All included, the super-rich pay proportionately less than others T



Billionaires have low tax rates because they pay relatively **little income tax**


- By avoiding dividend distribution and capital gains realizations
- By using holding companies and similar structures

The failure of the income tax at the top



Wealth of the global top 0.0001%, as a fraction of world GDP

(top 0.0001% = 1/million = 2,900 tax units = number of \$ billionaires in 2024)





A BLUEPRINT
FOR A COORDINATED
MINIMUM EFFECTIVE
TAXATION STANDARD FOR
ULTRA-HIGH-NET-WORTH
INDIVIDUALS


Commissioned by the Brazilian G20 presidency


Prepared by:
Gabriel Zucman
June 25, 2024

A coordinated minimum taxation standard:

- Baseline proposal: minimum rate of 2% of wealth for \$ billionaires
- 3,000 taxpayers globally
- About \$200–\$250 billion in tax revenue

A powerful tool to safeguard progressivity at the top





A coordinated minimum tax standard adds value because:

- Main obstacle to taxing the very rich is risk they may hide income or move to low-tax countries
- To overcome this issue:
 - Need information exchange
 - Need international coordination **to avoid race-to-the-bottom**
- We can do it: in 2021, more than 130 countries agreed to a minimum tax on multinational companies (Pillar Two)

This coordinated minimum tax is not a wealth tax but stays in the realm of income taxation:

- If one pays sufficient income tax, no extra tax is owed
- If one pays little income tax, a top-up is applied to reach the agreed minimum
- Key is to define the minimum relative to something hard to manipulate
 - For the super-rich the best reference is wealth itself
 - Technically, this is known as a **presumptive income tax**

Idea is to set **a common standard** that allows for flexible domestic implementation, for instance using:

- A presumptive income tax
- A tax on broad notions of income (e.g., including unrealized capital gains)
- A wealth tax

→ Any of these taxes would qualify as long as the super-rich meet the minimum standard (say, 2% of wealth) as a result

Like Pillar Two, does not require a multilateral treaty, only domestic measures

Main ingredient needed to administer the proposed tax: **wealth valuation**

- If wealth is as manipulable as income, then minimum tax is ineffective
- Research shows \approx half of billionaires' wealth is shares in publicly listed stock
- Other half is primarily stakes in large private businesses \rightarrow can be valued using standard formulas (Price/Earnings, Price/Sales, Price/Assets)
- Boats, art, etc., negligible as a share of wealth at the top

\rightarrow Even with imperfection valuations, massive improvement over status quo

Tax evasion is not a law of nature. We can build on recent progress to improve transparency:

- Extend automatic exchange of bank information to real estate and other assets
- Add **beneficial ownership information** to country-by-country reports of multinationals
- Create new reporting standard on ultra-high-net-worth individuals

Global participation is not necessary: minimum tax can be implemented by any country or group of countries

To limit race-to-bottom, need to create incentives to join agreement:

- Strengthened exit taxes: keep taxing residents moving to non-participating countries
- “Tax collector of last resort mechanisms” as in the minimum tax on multinationals


A coordinated minimum tax is a powerful—and in fact, the most effective—option to safeguard tax progressivity at the very top of the income distribution

Thanks to recent progress in international cooperation, such a tax:


- Has become technically feasible
- Could be enforced successfully

Additional slides

Billionaire pay around 0.3% of their wealth in tax




Considering different rates



Revenue potential (\$ billion, 2024)

	1% rate	2% rate	3% rate
>\$1 billion	80 – 100	193 – 242	307 – 384
\$100m – \$1 billion	0	108 – 135	244 – 305
>\$100 million	80 – 100	302 – 377	551 – 688

Alternative option #1: Strengthening the estate tax



Alternative option #2: Strengthening the income tax

