## ECON 133 "Global Inequality and Growth" Midterm

## 1. True False Statement/Questions (10 points)

Explain your answer fully based on what discussed in lecture and in section (no more than 5 lines per question). All the credit is based on the explanation. (2 points for each question.)

- (a) The decline of top marginal income tax rates has played an important role in the rise of labor income inequality in the United States since the 1970s.
- (b) The Pareto coefficient a for the distribution of income is 1.7 in the US and 2.3 in France. This means that income is more concentrated in France than in the US.
- (c) There is strong empirical evidence supporting the argument that income inequality has a causal negative effect on economic growth.
- (d) In theory, whenever the supply of college educated workers stagnates, the skill premium always rises.
- (e) Changes in labor income concentration are the main reason why income inequality has changed over the course of the twentieth century in developed economies.

## 2. Exercise 1 (10 points)

Consider the wealth accumulation equation  $W_{t+1} = (W_t + s_t Y_t)(1 + q_{t+1}).$ 

- (a) What does this formula say about the sources of wealth accumulation? Make sure to define each component. (2 points.)
- (b) Use the fact that  $Y_{t+1} = Y_t \cdot (1 + g_t)$  to express  $\beta_{t+1}$  in terms of  $\beta_t$ ,  $s_t$ ,  $q_{t+1}$  and  $g_t$ . (2 points.)
- (c) If there was no capital gain  $(q_{t+1} \text{ always equal to } 0)$ , how would it affect the equation derived in question (b)? Derive the steady-state wealth-to-income ratio in this case. What is this formula called? (2 points.)
- (d) Plot the evolution of the national wealth-national income ratio from 1870 to 2010 in Europe and the US in a graph. (2 points.)
- (e) Is it always the case that the capital share of income has to rise when the wealth-to-income ratio  $\beta$  rises? Explain. (2 points.)

## 3. Exercise 2 (10 points)

Consider the case of Atlantis, a country where income is distributed as follows: the richest 10% of the population have 50% of total income, and the bottom 90% have the other 50% of total income.

Assume income is equally distributed within each of the two groups.

- (a) Plot the Lorenz curve for Atlantis. (Make sure to label both axes and to include the line of perfect equality. 2 points.)
- (b) Calculate the Gini coefficient for this country (3 points.)
- Now assume income is Pareto-distributed within the top 10%. To belong to the top 10%, an individual needs to have at least \$100,000 in income. The average income for those in the top 10% is \$300,000.
  - (c) Calculate b, the inverted Pareto-Lorenz coefficient. (2 point.)
  - (d) What is the average income above \$2,000,000 in Atlantis? (1 point.)
  - (e) Is income more or less concentrated at the top in Atlantis than in the US today? (2 points.)